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Let $G$ be a group acting faithfully on a set $\Omega$. An equivalence relation, $\sim$, is called a $G$-congruence if for every $g \in G$ and every $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$,

$$
\alpha^{g} \sim \beta^{g} \Leftrightarrow \alpha \sim \beta
$$

We call (the action of) $G$ primitive if

- $G$ is transitive; and
- $G$ admits no nontrivial $G$-congruences.
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- The symmetric group $\mathrm{S}_{n}$ acting on $[n]:=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
- Any $k$-transitive group, $k \geq 2$.
- The symmetric group $\mathrm{S}_{n}$ acting on the set of $r$-subsets of [ $n$ ], $n>2 r$.
- The projective general linear group $\mathrm{PGL}_{d}(q)$ acting on $m$-dimensional subspaces of $\operatorname{GF}(q)^{d}, d>2 m$.
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## The O'Nan-Scott theorem

The O'Nan-Scott theorem classifies all primitive permutation groups; we summarise a condensed version for the actions of $\mathrm{S}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{n}$.

Theorem: Up to equivalence, the primitive actions of $G \in\left\{S_{n}, A_{n}\right\}$ are the actions on:
(i) $r$-subsets of $[n], n>2 r$;
(ii) partitions of $[n]$ into $k$ parts each of size $/(n=k l)$;
(iii) subspaces of a vector space (when $G$ is isomorphic to an almost simple classical group); and
(iv) something else (known precisely).
(i)-(iii) are called standard actions, and (iv) non-standard.
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## A computational problem

Let $G$ be a permutation group acting faithfully on an $n$-set $\Omega$.

Problem: How can we store each permutation $g \in G$ ?
Naïve approach: Store $g$ as the $n$-tuple ( $\alpha^{g}: \alpha \in \Omega$ ).

As the degree gets large, so does the length of these tuples (linearly), but much of their information is redundant.
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We can store $g$ as a tuple of (probably) much shorter length; call the minimum size of a base for $G$ the base size of $G$, denoted $b(G)$.
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- Any $(n-1)$ set is a base for $S_{n}$ acting on [ $n$ ], but any set of size less than $n-1$ has a stabiliser containing a transposition hence cannot be a base. Thus $b(G)=n-1$.
- Let $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{d}$ be a basis for $G F(q)^{d}$. Then $\left\{\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle e_{2}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle e_{d}\right\rangle,\left\langle e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{d}\right\rangle\right\}$ is a base of size $d+1$ for $\mathrm{PGL}_{d}(q)$ acting on 1 -spaces.
- For any permutation group $G$ of degree $n$, $(\log |G|) /(\log n) \leq b(G) \leq \log |G|$.
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They show a similar result for $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ — remains to consider $r$-subsets.
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A similar result was obtained independently by Mecenero+Spiga, although it takes a surprisingly different form.

Corollary: Every almost simple primitive permutation group with alternating socle has known base size.

## Consequences of the main result
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Corollary: Let $s \in(0,1]$. Then $b\left(\mathrm{~S}_{r^{1+s}, r}\right)=\Theta\left(r^{s}\right)$, that is, there exist $c, C>0$ such that

$$
c r^{s} \leq b\left(\mathrm{~S}_{r^{1+s}, r}\right) \leq C r^{s}
$$

for all $r$.
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With such a framework, the notions of neighbourhoods, and duals become sensible.
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Given a hypergraph $H=(V, E)$ and $v \in V$, define the neighbourhood of $v$ to be the set

$$
N_{H}(v):=\{e \in E(H): v \in e\} .
$$

Lemma: Let $n$ and $r$ be positive integers with $n \geq 2 r$, and $\mathcal{B}$ a base for $S_{n, r}$ with $|\mathcal{B}|=l$. Then $\operatorname{Ir}=\sum_{x \in[n]}\left|N_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\right|$.

Neighbourhoods also give us a nice combinatorial description of a base: a collection $\mathcal{B}$ of $(\leq) r$-subsets of $[n]$ is a base for $S_{n,(\leq) r}$ if and only if no two points share a common neighbourhood.
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## Interpretation of $m_{r}(I, k)$

Recall $m_{r}(I, k):=\frac{1}{k}\left(\operatorname{lr}-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i\binom{l}{i}\right)$.
Given $\mathcal{B}, k$, set $A_{1}:=\left\{x \in[n]:\left|N_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\right|<k\right\}$ and $A_{2}:=\left\{x \in[n]:\left|N_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\right| \geq k\right\}$. Then $\sum_{x \in A_{2}}\left|N_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\right|=\operatorname{lr}-\left(\sum_{x \in A_{1}}\left|N_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\right|\right)$. There are at most $\binom{l}{i}$ distinct neighbourhoods of size $i$, so $\operatorname{lr}-\left(\sum_{x \in A_{1}}\left|N_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\right|\right)$ is minimally $\operatorname{Ir}-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i\binom{l}{i}=k m_{r}(I, k)$. On the other hand $k\left|A_{2}\right| \leq \sum_{x \in A_{2}}\left|N_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\right|$. Thus $m_{r}(l, k)$ estimates the minimum number of points of [ $n$ ] which have neighbourhoods of size at least $k$.

## Making a small base

Let $H$ be a hypergraph. The dual of $H$, denoted $H^{\perp}$, is the hypergraph with vertex set identified with the hyperedges of $H$, and hyperedges identified with vertices of $H$, where the incidence relations of $H^{\perp}$ are the reverse of those of $H$.
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## Making a small base

Let $H$ be a hypergraph. The dual of $H$, denoted $H^{\perp}$, is the hypergraph with vertex set identified with the hyperedges of $H$, and hyperedges identified with vertices of $H$, where the incidence relations of $H^{\perp}$ are the reverse of those of $H$.


$$
\mapsto\{\{1,2,4,5\},\{3,5\},\{2,3,4,5\},\{1,4,5\}\}
$$

Edges become vertices and neighbourhoods become edges. Thus to make a small base it suffices to make its dual - a hypergraph with $n$ (distinct) edges and as few vertices as possible so that no vertex is contained in more than $r$ edges.
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## Example cont'd



Taking the dual gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{\{2,7,8,9,10,17\},\{3,7,11,12,13,17\},\{4,8,11,14,15,18\}, \\
& \{5,9,12,14,16,18\},\{6,10,13,15,16,17,18\}\}
\end{aligned}
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as a minimum base for $S_{18, \leq 7}$

## Example cont'd



Taking the dual gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{\{2,7,8,9,10,17\},\{3,7,11,12,13,17\},\{4,8,11,14,15,18\}, \\
& \{5,9,12,14,16,18\},\{6,10,13,15,16,17,18\}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

as a minimum base for $S_{18, \leq 7}$
Applying Halasi's algorithm yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{\{2,7,8,9,10,17,18\},\{3,7,11,12,13,17,18\}, \\
& \{4,8,11,14,15,17,18\},\{5,7,9,12,14,16,18\} \\
& \{6,10,13,15,16,17,18\}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

a minimum base for $S_{18,7}$.

## Thanks for listening!



